IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.20247 of 2006
DATE OF DECISION: December 19, 2006

Raja

….Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

….

Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri S.P.Khatri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

Notice of motion to the respondents.

On the asking of Court, Shri Ashok Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Copies of the writ petition have been supplied to the learned counsel for the respondents.

The claim of the petitioner for allotment of a plot as an oustee has been rejected by the Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula through a communication (Annexure P.6) dated December 1, 2006. It has been reported that since the acquired land of the petitioner fell in a different Sector, whereas he had applied for allotment of plot in Sector 27, therefore, he could not be treated as eligible for the allotment of the aforesaid plot in Sector 27. The petitioner has pleaded that his land had been acquired through a notification

whereby the acquisition had been done commonly for Sectors 25 to 28 of Panchkula. On that basis, the petitioner has relied upon the policies of HUDA, whereby the plot could be allotted to the petitioner in any of those sectors or adjacent sector.

On November 29,2006, the Chief Administrator, HUDA had appeared in this Court in connection with hearing of CWP No.15433 of 2006 and another connected matters and had made a detailed statement. A large number of cases were disposed of on the basis of the aforesaid statement made by the Chief Administrator.

The present petition is also disposed of in terms of the orders passed in CWP No.15433 of 2006 on November 29,2006.

A copy of the order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

December 19, 2006 (M.M.S. Bedi)

KD Judge